•			
· .			E
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
N. Co. of Aller and Plan	10/618,886	BARONE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn T Blake	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (0 herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) of NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGOR of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and the office of the Offic	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate community of the community of	this application. If not include inication will be mailed in due	ded e course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to $\underline{1/6/05}$ .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-19</u> .			
3.   The drawings filed on 14 July 2003 are accepted by the Example 1.	miner.		
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have to certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have to copies of the certified copies of</li></ul>	peen received. Deen received in Applicatio	n No	ation from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" or noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONME THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the re	equirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitt INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives			NOTICE OF .
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must	be submitted.		
(a) $\square$ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review	( PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the			e back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT For a second comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR a seco			Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. ☐ Notice of Int	formal Patent Application (P1	ΓΟ-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<u>_</u>	ummary (PTO-413),	
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No./	Mail Date Amendment/Comment	
Paper No./Mail Date  4.   Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🗌 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Al	lowance

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

of Biological Material

9. Other \_\_\_\_.

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes

and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided

by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be

submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview

with Mr. Richard Michaud (Reg. No. 40,088) on April 4, 2005.

2. The application has been amended as follows: Claims 20 and 21 have been

cancelled.

Reasons for Allowance

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claims

are allowable because the prior art fails to teach a method of manufacturing a razor

cartridge wherein a body is formed with channels aft and forward of the razor blade,

shaving aid material is injected into the channels, and passages between the channels

allow the shaving aid material to travel as set forth in claims 1, 14, and 15.

Regarding claims 1, 14, and 15, Welsh et al (4,964,214) disclose a method for

manufacturing a razor blade cartridge, comprising the steps of: providing one or more

razor blades (2), each having a length; forming a body (1B) attached to the one or more

razor blades, wherein the body (1B) includes a first channel aft of the one or more razor

blades (2), a second channel forward of the one or more razor blades (2), and one or

more passages extending between the first channel and the second channel; placing a

shaving aid material (28) into at least one of the first channel, second channel, or the

Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

one or more passages. See FIG 12. Welsh et al fail to disclose injecting the shaving aid material. Schauble (4,809,432) discloses a method for manufacturing a razor blade cartridge (14), comprising the steps of: providing one or more razor blades (42), each having a length; forming a body attached to the one or more razor blades, wherein the body includes a first channel (48) and a second channel (54), and one or more passages (26) extending between the first channel (48) and the second channel (54); placing a shaving aid material into at least one of the first channel (48), second channel (54), or the one or more passages (26); wherein the one or more passages (26) extending between the first channel (48) and the second channel (54) enables the shaving aid material to travel from the one of the first channel (48), the second channel (54), or the one or more passages (26) into the others of the first channel (48), the second channel (54), or the one or more passages (26). Schauble fails to disclose the first channel is aft the razor blade, and the second channel is forward the razor blade. It would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Welsh et al and Schauble devices because the Welsh et al device concerns a solid shaving preparation, whereas the Schauble device concerns a liquid shaving preparation.

The above prior art by itself or in combination with the other prior art cited fails to teach the claimed invention set forth in claims 1, 14, and 15.

4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Application/Control Number: 10/618,886

Art Unit: 3724

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Page 4

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

**Conclusions** 

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carolyn T Blake whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:30

PM, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CB

April 4, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700